

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE COUNCIL
HELD ON WEDNESDAY, 18 NOVEMBER 2020**

COUNCILLORS

PRESENT Sabri Ozaydin (Mayor), Christine Hamilton (Deputy Mayor), Huseyin Akpinar, Mahmut Aksanoglu, Maria Alexandrou, Daniel Anderson, Kate Anolue, Tolga Aramaz, Guner Aydin, Dinah Barry, Ian Barnes, Mahym Bedekova, Sinan Boztas, Yasemin Brett, Anne Brown, Alev Cazimoglu, Nesil Caliskan, Mustafa Cetinkaya, Katherine Chibah, Will Coleshill, Lee David-Sanders, Birsen Demirel, Clare De Silva, Chris Dey, Guney Dogan, Elif Erbil, Ergin Erbil, Susan Erbil, Ergun Eren, Achilleas Georgiou, Alessandro Georgiou, Margaret Greer, Charith Gunawardena, Ahmet Hasan, Elaine Hayward, James Hockney, Stephanos Ioannou, Rick Jewell, Saray Karakus, Nneka Keazor, Joanne Laban, Bernadette Lappage, Dino Lemonides, Tim Leaver, Derek Levy, Mary Maguire, Andy Milne, Gina Needs, Terence Neville OBE JP, Ayfer Orhan, Ahmet Oykener, Vicki Pite, Lindsay Rawlings, Michael Rye OBE, George Savva MBE, Edward Smith, Jim Steven, Claire Stewart, Doug Taylor, Mahtab Uddin, Glynis Vince and Hass Yusuf

ABSENT None

1

THE MAYOR'S CHAPLAIN TO GIVE A BLESSING

The Mayor's Chaplain, Rabbi Daniel Epstein gave the blessing.

2

MAYOR'S ANNOUNCEMENTS IN CONNECTION WITH THE ORDINARY BUSINESS OF THE COUNCIL

The Mayor began by wishing everyone good evening and welcoming them to Council meeting for November.

He continued saying that he hoped everyone was keeping well at this difficult time when the pandemic was still affecting so many of lives. He sent his best wishes to all the residents and staff involved in dealing with the pandemic and thanked all those who were working so tirelessly to help in any way that they could, until the virus could be defeated.

The Mayor had been endeavouring to attend as many events as possible. He had been honoured to attend the Lord Mayors' Association's Civic Service at Westminster Abbey on the 18 October 2020. Where it had been nice to see so many other Mayors, albeit with social distancing restrictions.

COUNCIL - 18.11.2020

The Mayor had sent videos of good wishes to events he had been unable to attend personally, including the Jack Petchey Foundation, Spacehive Project, Enfield School High Achievers, MacMillan Cancer Support and many others. Once the government restrictions were lifted, he hoped that he would be able to meet many more people over the coming months

The Mayor said that his Mayoral charity was progressing well. He had received designs from many school children who had seemed to be delighted to be involved in the launch of his t-shirt campaign.

As Christmas was fast approaching, he concluded by wishing everyone a peaceful and happy Christmas and prayed that the New Year would bring hope and tranquillity and we would be able to put 2020 behind us. He wished everyone all health and kindness.

3

MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON 30 SEPTEMBER 2020

The minutes of the Council meeting held on 30 September 2020 were received and agreed as a correct record with the following amendment to item 6 para 4 bullet point 3:

To replace the sentence “Responses by phone had been excellent” with “The phone call service for isolated residents was excellent”.

4

APOLOGIES

Apologies for lateness were received from Councillors Akpinar, Brett, Hayward and Milne.

5

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

Councillor Taylor and Councillor Leaver declared disclosable pecuniary interests in item 7 (Treasury Management Mid Year Report) as they were directors of Energetik.

6

RESPONSE TO PLANNING WHITE PAPER - PLANNING FOR THE FUTURE

Councillor Caliskan moved and Councillor Savva seconded the report of the Executive Director Place on the Council’s response to the Government’s White Paper – Planning for the Future.

NOTED

1. Councillor Caliskan in proposing this report highlighted the following:

COUNCIL - 18.11.2020

- The report set out the Council's response to the Government consultation on major changes to the planning system.
- The administration was supportive of some of the proposals in the consultation, including those which would streamline local planning processes, putting maps at the heart of local plans and increasing the role of good design. But they were also very concerned about the government proposals and felt that they also should be of great concern to Enfield residents. Councillor Caliskan invited all councillors to add their voices and to ask the Government to urgently rethink the proposals.
- Although acknowledging that there was a need for more houses in Enfield, Councillor Caliskan thought that the Government was wrong to put all the blame for restricting development on the planning system. This view was too simplistic.
- More clarity was needed on the mechanisms for distributing housing targets and a need to reflect specific local circumstances. A large part of Enfield was made up of the green belt and strategic industrial land.
- On top of this the administration were very concerned that the proposals were a threat to local democratic decision making as they would effectively give national government control over local decision making.
- Concerns had been raised by many people and the proposals had been publicly criticised on all sides. Local residents had strong view on planning matters which they had a right to have heard. The views of residents' matter.
- There was no mention of town centre regeneration. Town centres were important areas for development and are often areas with quality heritage and great potential for growth. Residents want to be able to meaningfully influence the future of their town centres.
- The uncertainty brought in by the white paper would hamper growth.

2. The comments of the majority group including:

- Concern that although there were some positives most of the proposals in the consultation were negative and damaging to local democracy.
- The planning system was not an obstacle to development.
- Carbon neutral economic growth was important to quality of life. There was no mention of the climate emergency. The proposals could be damaging to environmental and ecological standards. It was a missed opportunity to embed sustainability in the planning system.
- The proposals would do little to address the growing need for temporary accommodation, as there was no new funding to deliver new homes.

COUNCIL - 18.11.2020

- Concern reflected in comments made at a recent Environment Forum about the threat to the green belt and the need to protect the natural and historic built environment
- That this would be a developers' charter and would take decision making away from local councils and affect the amount of fees the local authority could charge to pay for planning services.
- The proposals would result in the loss of office space and other local facilities, whilst taking away what little funding for infrastructure there was.
- The zoning proposals were inappropriate, too broad and would take power away from locally elected decision makers.
- Planning decisions would become more centralised and too many decisions would be delegated to officers.

3. The comments of the majority opposition group:

- Support for a significant part of views expressed in the administration paper.
- Ninety percent of planning applications were approved. The problem with delay in developing sites, lay with the developers who were able to stockpile land, due to flaws in the current legislation.
- Concern about the proposal to put all land in three different zones which was felt to be too blunt an instrument. The public would lose the right to have a say on individual applications.
- No support for the proposal to add additional stories to buildings without the need for planning permission.
- No support for watering down the protections around conservation areas which should be protected and enhanced.
- Support for the idea of a design code but concern that it would not be flexible enough.
- The opposition had already met senior government officials and written to the minister expressing their concerns.
- Concern about the increase in permitted development rights and the increase in high rise buildings.
- The Government's proposals would have a significant impact on the way that we live. The planning system did need reform, but not the type of reforms proposed in the Government's white paper.
- Support for the proposal to shorten the local plan adoption process, but concerns about the algorithm for deciding on the number of homes to be built in each authority.
- Concern about the lack of focus on disabled people and disabilities.
- Opposition members did not want to see local democracy undermined but could not support the administration's paper opposing the government planning proposals because they felt that the paper did not go far enough. No amendment to the report was proposed.

4. The comments of the minority opposition group:
 - Major concern about the erosion of democracy
 - Support for the provisions on design.
 - Concern about the removal of the contributions to affordable housing and lack of support for homes to rent.
 - The need for truly affordable housing based on average local incomes.

5. The summing up by Councillor Caliskan. She was pleased that the opposition had written to Government ministers separately and in the spirit of transparency would like to see a copy of the letters. Rather than watering down, the Labour administration was keen to champion protected areas. She felt that areas did need clearer protections and that the opposition should join with the administration in not supporting the Government reforms. Councillor Caliskan said that Enfield residents would find it difficult to understand why the Conservative councillors were not supporting a report of the Council which opposed the Governments planning proposals. She suggested voting in that way indicated that the Conservative Councillors did support the planning proposals despite what they said in their speeches.

After the debate the recommendation in the report was agreed, after a vote with the following result:

For: 43
Against: 0
Abstentions: 17

AGREED to note the Council's response to the Government's consultation on the Planning White Paper "Planning for the Future".

7

TREASURY MANAGEMENT MID YEAR REPORT 2020-21

Councillor Maguire moved and Councillor Caliskan seconded the report of the Executive Director Resources on the Treasury Management Mid-Year position.

NOTED:

1. Councillor Maguire in proposing the report highlighted the following:
 - This was the half year report to the end of September 2020.
 - It had been considered by Cabinet on 11 November 2020.
 - The Council was being asked to approve an additional capital policy for the 2020/21 Enfield Treasury Management Strategy Statement. This policy concerned the working capital policy including where and when to make loans to third parties.

COUNCIL - 18.11.2020

- All treasury management activity had been within approved limits and following prudential indicators.
 - The audited borrowing Capital Financing Requirement Forecast for the 31 March 2020 was £1,072m. This has been revised for the 31 March 2021 from £1,238m to £1,288m due to the pandemic and general capital expenditure slippage.
 - There had been a reduction of £61.6m in borrowing outstanding and net borrowing with a revised forecast of £1,058. £200m less than the original budget. The Council has spent less, due to work slowing down and there have been no new loans.
 - The Council had approximately £1 billion worth of long term borrowing varying slightly between April and September.
 - The amount of gross interest paid was forecast to be £27.3m for this financial year.
 - Outstanding loans were set out in Table 5 to the report. Where possible loans have been refinanced on better terms.
 - The Council had 95 loans spread over a 50 year period.
 - Table 3 set out the borrowing position. Table 4 set out the Capital Financing Requirement. Table 5 set out the cost of borrowing. Table 6 profiles maturing loans. Table 7 contains Treasury Investments.
2. Comments of the majority opposition group:
- Concern about the high levels of borrowing which will have to be repaid by future generations.
 - Concern that Enfield was on track to become the Croydon of North London.
 - Disappointment that the Meridian Water had been so slow to get going. It should have been generating more income by this stage.
 - Disappointment that Energetik, the Council company, had not yet made a profit and that its directors were being paid too much.
 - A forecast of over £1 billion debt was too high and the £27m cost of servicing the debt repayments would have to be taken from the revenue budget which would leave less money for other services.
 - Money spent on Housing Gateway had not resulted in the building of new houses. The Council now had one of the highest levels of temporary housing in London.
 - Concern about the deficit in the dedicated schools grant.
3. Comments of the minority opposition group:
- The Coronavirus pandemic had been a mixed blessing as it had saved the Council money in some areas.
4. Comments of the majority group:
- The spending on the capital programme was being closely monitored. The report showed clear evidence of sound financial management.

COUNCIL - 18.11.2020

- The Council was supporting the local economy and was investing including in new housing, new lighting as well as Meridian Water.
 - Borrowing this year had been less than was planned due to the pandemic.
 - The report showed that the Council was being transparent in its financial affairs.
 - The Council had delivered and would continue to deliver for the borough, by investing in the future. Construction was underway at several sites. The Government had congratulated the Council for the speed of development and Enfield had been given awards for recent infrastructure projects.
 - Money being spent was for capital projects. The law did not allow the Council to spend revenue money for capital projects.
 - Energetik would make returns, but in the longer term. Major infrastructure was investment in the longterm future. Directors should be adequately remunerated for their work.
5. The summing up by Councillor Maguire that Enfield should not be compared with Croydon which was in a very different financial position. In Croydon treasury indicators had been very poor over the last 3 years. Enfield was much stronger financially. A more appropriate comparison could be made between Croydon and the Conservative administrations in Somerset and Northampton, which were on the brink of bankruptcy. The Council had set out its capital ambitions clearly in a ten-year financial strategy. The cost of borrowing had been shown to be affordable. The Labour administration was keen to improve the borough.

During the discussion on this item, Councillor Stewart proposed and Councillor Caliskan seconded a motion to extend the time available to discuss reports by 15 minutes. This was agreed without a vote.

After the debate the recommendations in the report were put to the vote and agreed with the following result:

For: 41
Against: 17
Abstentions: 4

AGREED

- To note the contents of the report.
- To approve the additional policy for 2020/21 Enfield Treasury Management position.
- To approve the additional policy for 2020/21 Enfield Treasury Management Strategy Statement attached as appendix 1 to the report.

8

QUARTER 2 REVENUE MONITORING REPORT

Councillor Stewart moved and Councillor Caliskan seconded a motion to extend the time allowed for reports for a further 15 minutes.

This was agreed without a vote.

Councillor Maguire moved and Councillor Caliskan seconded the report of the Executive Director Resources setting out the Council's revenue budget monitoring position to the end of September 2020 (Second Quarter).

NOTED

1. Councillor Maguire highlighted the following in moving the report:
 - The report set out the position on projected income and expenditure, both as it was with the Covid situation and also what the situation would have been without the pandemic.
 - Without Covid, the Council would have had a 0.345m underspend.
 - The impact of Covid had been estimated at £64.6m. This included forecasted expenditure, loss of income and impact on the savings programme, but not the impact of the second lock down.
 - Even with the additional money provided by Government, this would still leave a £30.9m gap.
 - This would put pressure on the Council Tax and although the Government was allowing the Council to run a short term deficit this would be detrimental to financing in future years.
 - The Council has had to carry out a mid year review of fees and charges which the Council is asked to approve to help bridge the gap.
 - On top of this, the dedicated schools grant was forecasting an outturn deficit of £2.661m which added to last year's deficit would reach £7.142m by the end of this year.
 - The Housing revenue account was also forecasting a £0.7m overspend which is a result of the pandemic.
 - Table 1 sets out the General Fund Quarter 2 Projected Departmental Outturn Variances 2020/21, Table 2 the Summary of Covid 19 Impact by Department. Further detail was in the appendices to the report.
 - Without the pandemic, the Council would have had only a very small overspend.
 - The savings proposals agreed in July 2020 were on track to deliver.
 - Reserves would continue to be monitored.

2. The comments of the majority opposition:

COUNCIL - 18.11.2020

- To acknowledge that the Government had given unprecedented support through the furlough scheme, to local businesses and to the Council to support the costs of the pandemic. This included funding for the homeless, to help vulnerable families.
 - Concern about the late completion of the accounts and that the Council had failed to repair the finances in the good years, spending too much on consultants and solicitors.
 - Concern about the increases to fees and charges, including for funerals and burials which was felt to be inappropriate at this time.
 - To acknowledge that the report was out of date, as since it was written, the Government had given a total of more than £40m to the Council.
 - The furlough scheme had underpinned the jobs of thousands of Enfield residents.
 - Concern about the £100,000 spent on premises for the Community Hub when the Council owned other buildings which could have been used.
 - Confirmation was needed that the Council can balance the budget.
3. The comments of the majority group:
- Welcome and support for the report which showed realistic and balanced financial information. The Health and Social Care budget was in a good position. Positive work had been done on Modern Slavery, in recruiting social work apprentices and providing support for independent living.
 - The need for the Conservative Government to come up with a plan for Social Care. Long term social care funding must be a priority.
 - The Council was investing in local people and the pandemic had highlighted how important a good social care system was. Care workers had been front and centre of the Covid response.
 - The increases to fees and charges were in line with neighbouring authorities.
 - The location of the Community Hub had been chosen as ideal for keeping things in one place and for the purpose of responding quickly to the emergency. It had enabled the Council to deliver 30,000 food packages and thousands of prescriptions.
4. The summing up by Councillor Maguire that the Government had wasted millions of pounds on projects such as the Nightingale Hospitals which had not been used. The money for rough sleepers had been provided by the GLA, not the Government.

After the debate the recommendations in the report were put to the vote and agreed with the following result:

For: 41
Against: 17
Abstentions: 4

AGREED

1. To note the General Fund, Housing Revenue Account (HRA) and Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG) forecast revenue outturn position for 2020/21.
2. To note the Covid-19 impact of £64.63m and Council's response to mitigating this pressure. It is also recommended that Council notes that without the pandemic the forecast underspend would have been £0.345m for the General Fund and further note the progress made on the journey to setting a robust and resilient budget.
3. To approve the outcomes of the mid year fees and charges review for implementation from the 1st December 2020.
4. To note that Executive Directors will continue to work with Cabinet Members to implement action plans to reduce the forecast overspend in 2020/21 and implement savings, whilst managing, mitigating and minimising the Covid-19 financial impact.
5. To note the forecast level of reserves and implications for 2020/21 and over the life of the MTFP.
6. To note the forecast pressures of £30.872m for 2021/22 and a budget gap of £18.117m.

9

CHANGE IN ORDER OF BUSINESS

Councillor Claire Stewart moved and Councillor Caliskan seconded a proposal under paragraph 4.2 of the Council procedure rules to change the order of items on the agenda so that Motion 9 under Item 12 motions should be taken as the next item of business. This would be followed by Motions 10,4,6 and 7.

This was agreed after a vote with the following result:

For: 41
Against: 17
Abstentions: 4

The minutes reflect the order of the meeting.

**10
MOTIONS**

Councillor Jewell proposed and Councillor Achilleas Georgiou seconded the following motion:

The important campaign led by the Premier League footballer, Marcus Rashford, has shone a light on the importance of free school meals to many of our children, particularly during the pandemic when so many families have had their household income drop.

Enfield Council condemns the government's decision not to fund Free School Meals during the October half term this year. If the government can find £7000 a day for consultants for the failed track and trace system, it can fund to help the most vulnerable families in our communities with free school meals during the school holidays.

During the October half term, Enfield Council has been in contact with more than 2,500 families on the free school meal lists, offering support to ensure no child went hungry.

This Council believes that when schools are closed, such as during school holidays, or if children are not able to attend school because of Covid-19, that those children who are entitled to free school meals should have access to food.

Enfield Council believes that no child should ever go hungry whilst at school or during the holidays and we call on the government to quickly resolve to funding FSMs.

During the debate Councillor Stewart moved and Councillor Barnes seconded a motion to proceed to the next item of business.

This was agreed with the following result:

For: 45
Against: 17
Abstentions: 0

After the debate the motion was agreed with a vote with the following result:

For: 45
Against: 17
Abstentions: 0

Councillor Savva moved and Councillor Rye seconded the following motion:

Enfield condemns in the strongest possible way the unlawful killing and continued unfair treatment of Black lives. Racism has no place in Enfield or elsewhere in this Country and the World.

Those found to exercise or practice racism, if found guilty, should be punished with jail and or fines.

After the debate the motion was agreed unanimously.

Councillor Stewart moved and Councillor Caliskan seconded a motion to extend the time allowed for motions by 35 minutes.

Councillor Aramaz moved and Councillor Ergin Erbil seconded the following motion:

The Council recognises that there are a huge number of Alevi and Kurdish people in the borough. It is acknowledged by the British Alevi Federation that the London Borough of Enfield has the highest amount of Alevis and Kurdish people living in one area in the United Kingdom.

Enfield public bodies do not currently have enough information about Alevis. More data would help inform the approach of the education, health, local government and general support towards the Alevi community. Lack of inclusion in the census indicates an underestimation and insufficient recognition of the Alevi community as well as inadequate resources directed towards them.

Enfield Council supports the campaign by the British Alevi Federation to include Alevism in the census. Data about minority groups is vital because underreporting could allow discrimination to go unnoticed.

Therefore, in order to not disenfranchise those that identify themselves as Alevi or Kurdish, Enfield Council will ask officers to explore the possibility to select Alevism as an independent faith option and Kurdish as an independent ethnicity option, when compiling council forms. Enfield Council should also explore the possibility of including other ethnic group as a category on council forms.

Councillor Aramaz then proposed an alteration to his motion to accept the amendment put forward by Councillor Rye and to replace the fourth paragraph with the following plus the additional final sentence:

Enfield Council calls upon the government to include Alevism as an independent faith option and Kurdish as an independent ethnicity option as categories on all national and local government forms. Enfield Council should also explore the possibility of including other ethnic groups as a category on Council forms.

After a debate, the motion was agreed unanimously.

Councillor Levy proposed and Councillor Barry seconded a proposal that the time allowed for motions should be extended by a further 5 minutes.

This was agreed.

Councillor Chibah proposed and Councillor Yusuf seconded the following motion:

Enfield Council notes that on 7th September 2020 Camden Council passed a motion which resolved to:

COUNCIL - 18.11.2020

- Renew its commitment to achieving 'Fairtrade Community' status.
- Actively promote Fairtrade locally, through support for local groups, in the media including social media, and events, including during Fairtrade Fortnight.
- Support local Fairtrade Schools and Universities, and actively promote Fairtrade teaching materials in local schools and educational institutions.
- Celebrate businesses championing Fairtrade products in the local community.
- Review its procurement policy, including its catering offer, to ensure that as far as is lawful Fairtrade produce is chosen wherever possible, and that Fairtrade Standards are included as a preference in any contracts going out to tender.

Enfield's "Fairtrade Community" status has similarly lapsed but the original community activists in "Fairtrade Enfield" have reformed with a view to regaining Fairtrade Community Status for Enfield. This can only be done with the active support of Enfield Council by agreeing the resolutions above.

This Council also notes that

- 2019 marked 25 years since the FAIRTRADE Mark was launched in the UK.
- Since 1994, consumer demand for fair trade has grown thanks to the efforts of grassroots campaigners and pioneering fair trade businesses.
- There are now over 600 Fairtrade Communities in the UK and more than 2,000 globally.
- As a result of Fairtrade commitments from mainstream brands and retailers, the UK Fairtrade market is now one of the biggest in the world.
- Global Fairtrade sales last year generated £142 million in Fairtrade Premium. Farmers in 73 countries have invested this money in their communities, increasing business productivity and contributing to the achievement of the global Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).
- Despite this positive news, exploitation remains rampant in global supply chains. More than 40 million people are trapped in modern slavery, including forced labour, and 152 million young people in child labour. Hundreds of millions more are earning less than a living income or wage.
- Most of the products we consume from some Caribbean islands, Africa and Asian countries are still produced by people who are living on or around the poverty line with few labour rights and educational opportunities, and some using child labour.

COUNCIL - 18.11.2020

- As individuals, it is almost impossible for us to know how we are to make recompense for the legacies of slavery. Fairtrade is probably the most direct way any of us can start to redress the injustices of the past.

This council believes that:

- Fairtrade, and the wider Fairtrade movement have a significant contribution to make towards ending exploitation in global supply chains and achieving the SDGs.
- The recently agreed International Fairtrade Charter should be welcomed, with its vision of transforming trade to work for people and planet.
- The Fairtrade principles of paying a 'premium' that is wholly managed by farmers and workers themselves, and of minimum prices to protect producers from market volatility, are crucial to systemic change.
- Public bodies, including local authorities, should support ethical procurement policies, using their purchasing power to support Fairtrade Standards and ensure their supply chains, at home and abroad, are free of exploitation, including modern slavery.
- Companies operating through global supply chains should go further and take steps to require the payment of living wages and achievement of living incomes for all.

And therefore, this council resolves, as above, to:

- Renew its commitment/commit to achieve 'Fairtrade Community' status.
- Actively promote Fairtrade locally, through support for local groups, in the media including social media, and events, including during Fairtrade Fortnight.
- Support local Fairtrade Schools and Universities, and actively promote Fairtrade teaching materials in local schools and educational institutions.
- Celebrate businesses championing Fairtrade products in the local community.

After a debate, the motion was agreed unanimously.

The following motions lapsed under the guillotine arrangements: 1,2,3,5,7,8,11,12,13,14,15,16 and 17.

11

DURATION OF COUNCIL MEETING

The Mayor advised, at this stage of the meeting, that the time available to complete the agenda had now elapsed so Council Procedure Rule 9 would apply.

NOTED that in accordance with Council Procedure Rule 8 (page 4-8 – Part 4), the remaining items of business on the Council agenda were considered without debate.

12

AUDIT AND RISK COMMITTEE ANNUAL REPORT 2019/20

RECEIVED the Annual Report of the Audit and Risk Management Committee for 2019/20.

NOTED this report had been agreed by the General Purposes Committee on 15 October 2020 and recommended on to Council.

AGREED to note the report.

Councillor Laban indicated that, if there had been a vote, the Majority Opposition would have voted against.

13

COUNCILLOR CONDUCT COMMITTEE ANNUAL REPORT 2019-20

RECEIVED the Annual Report of the Councillor Conduct Committee 2019/20.

AGREED to note the report.

Councillor Laban indicated that, if there had been a vote, the Majority Opposition group would have voted against.

14

COUNCILLOR QUESTION TIME

1. Urgent Questions

There were no urgent questions.

2. Questions by Councillors

NOTED

1. The forty four questions on the Council agenda and the written responses provided by the relevant Cabinet Members.

**15
COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP**

There were no changes to committee memberships.

**16
NOMINATIONS TO OUTSIDE BODIES**

There were no changes to the nominations to outside bodies.

**17
DATE OF NEXT MEETING**

NOTED that the next ordinary Council meeting will take place on Thursday 28 January 2020 at 7pm.